

Outcome determinism in measurement-based quantum computing for qudits

Robert Booth, Aleks Kissinger, Damian Markham, Clément Meignant, Simon Perdrix

June 4th, 2021



- Vincent Danos and Elham Kashefi. “Determinism in the One-Way Model”. In: *Physical Review A* 74.5 (Nov. 2006), p. 052310
- D. E. Browne et al. “Generalized Flow and Determinism in Measurement-Based Quantum Computation”. en. In: *New Journal of Physics* 9.8 (Aug. 2007), pp. 250–250

Some motivation

- Anne Broadbent and Elham Kashefi. “Parallelizing Quantum Circuits”. en. In: *Theoretical Computer Science* 410.26 (June 2009), pp. 2489–2510
- Ross Duncan et al. “Graph-Theoretic Simplification of Quantum Circuits with the ZX-Calculus”. en. In: *Quantum* 4 (June 2020), p. 279
- Atul Mantri et al. “Flow Ambiguity: A Path Towards Classically Driven Blind Quantum Computation”. en. In: *Physical Review X* 7.3 (July 2017)
- Robert I. Booth and Damian Markham. “Flow Conditions for Continuous Variable Measurement-Based Quantum Computing”. In: *arXiv:2104.00572 [quant-ph]* (Apr. 2021)

Quantum computing with qudits: states

- State space $\rightarrow d$ -dimensional Hilbert space:

$$\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{Z}_d) \cong \mathbb{C}^d$$

$$|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H} \iff |\psi\rangle = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_d} c_x |x\rangle$$

- d is **prime** $\implies \mathbb{Z}_d$ is a field

Quantum computing with qudits: gates

- Pauli gates

$$Z|x\rangle = e^{\frac{i2\pi x}{d}}|x\rangle = \omega^x|x\rangle \quad X|x\rangle = |x+1\rangle$$

$$\text{generic Pauli} \rightsquigarrow X^a Z^b \quad \text{for } a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_d$$

Quantum computing with qudits: gates

- Pauli gates

$$Z|x\rangle = e^{\frac{i2\pi x}{d}}|x\rangle = \omega^x|x\rangle \quad X|x\rangle = |x+1\rangle$$

$$\text{generic Pauli} \rightsquigarrow X^a Z^b \quad \text{for } a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_d$$

- Hadamard gate

$$H|x\rangle = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_d} \omega^{kx} |k\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad HZH^\dagger = X$$

Quantum computing with qudits: gates

- Pauli gates

$$Z|x\rangle = e^{\frac{i2\pi x}{d}}|x\rangle = \omega^x|x\rangle \quad X|x\rangle = |x+1\rangle$$

$$\text{generic Pauli} \rightsquigarrow X^a Z^b \quad \text{for } a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_d$$

- Hadamard gate

$$H|x\rangle = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_d} \omega^{kx} |k\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad HZH^\dagger = X$$

- Controlled phase or CZ-gate

$$E|x\rangle \otimes |y\rangle = \omega^{xy} |x\rangle \otimes |y\rangle \quad \text{for } w \in \mathbb{Z}_d$$

Quantum computing with qudits: gates

- Pauli gates

$$Z|x\rangle = e^{\frac{i2\pi x}{d}}|x\rangle = \omega^x|x\rangle \quad X|x\rangle = |x+1\rangle$$

$$\text{generic Pauli} \rightsquigarrow X^a Z^b \quad \text{for } a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_d$$

- Hadamard gate

$$H|x\rangle = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_d} \omega^{kx} |k\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad HZH^\dagger = X$$


- Controlled phase or CZ-gate

$$E|x\rangle \otimes |y\rangle = \omega^{xy} |x\rangle \otimes |y\rangle \quad \text{for } w \in \mathbb{Z}_d$$



- These gates are **not** self-inverse

$$(X^a Z^b)^d = 1_{\mathcal{H}} \quad H^4 = 1_{\mathcal{H}} \quad E^d = 1_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}}.$$

Gate teleportation protocols



Gate teleportation protocols



Quantum computing with qudits: measurements

- Paulis are **not** self-adjoint

Quantum computing with qudits: measurements

- Paulis are **not** self-adjoint
- For qudits, the Bloch “sphere” does not have a nice geometry

Quantum computing with qudits: measurements

- Paulis are **not** self-adjoint
- For qudits, the Bloch “sphere” does not have a nice geometry
- *Measurement space*: let Q be a Pauli, then

$$\mathcal{M}(Q) := \{M \text{ fixpoint unitary} \mid QM = \omega MQ\}$$

Quantum computing with qudits: measurements

- Paulis are **not** self-adjoint
- For qudits, the Bloch “sphere” does not have a nice geometry
- *Measurement space*: let Q be a Pauli, then

$$\mathcal{M}(Q) := \{M \text{ fixpoint unitary} \mid QM = \omega MQ\}$$

Lemma

If $M \in \mathcal{M}(Q)$, then M has d eigenvalues, and $Q |k : M\rangle = |k + 1 : M\rangle$.

Quantum computing with qudits: measurements

- Paulis are **not** self-adjoint
- For qudits, the Bloch “sphere” does not have a nice geometry
- *Measurement space*: let Q be a Pauli, then

$$\mathcal{M}(Q) := \{M \text{ fixpoint unitary} \mid QM = \omega MQ\}$$

Lemma

If $M \in \mathcal{M}(Q)$, then M has d eigenvalues, and $Q |k : M\rangle = |k + 1 : M\rangle$.

Lemma

$M, N \in \mathcal{M}(Q)$ if and only if there is $U \in SU(d)$ such that $M = UNU^\dagger$ and furthermore $[U, Q] = 0$.

Measurement patterns

A **measurement pattern** on a register V of qudits is a V -indexed sequence of commands taken from:

Measurement patterns

A **measurement pattern** on a register V of qudits is a V -indexed sequence of commands taken from:

- N_u : initialisation of a qudit u in the state $|0 : X\rangle = H|0\rangle$;

Measurement patterns

A **measurement pattern** on a register V of qudits is a V -indexed sequence of commands taken from:

- N_u : initialisation of a qudit u in the state $|0 : X\rangle = H|0\rangle$;
- $E_{u,v}^\lambda$: application of E^λ on qudits u and v for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_d$, with $u \neq v$;

Measurement patterns

A **measurement pattern** on a register V of qudits is a V -indexed sequence of commands taken from:

- N_u : initialisation of a qudit u in the state $|0 : X\rangle = H|0\rangle$;
- $E_{u,v}^\lambda$: application of E^λ on qudits u and v for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_d$, with $u \neq v$;
- $M_u^{a,b}(\vec{\theta})$: measurement of qudit u in the measurement space $\mathcal{M}(a, b)$ with angles $\vec{\theta}$;

Measurement patterns


A **measurement pattern** on a register V of qudits is a V -indexed sequence of commands taken from:

- N_u : initialisation of a qudit u in the state $|0 : X\rangle = H|0\rangle$;
- $E_{u,v}^\lambda$: application of E^λ on qudits u and v for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_d$, with $u \neq v$;
- $M_u^{a,b}(\vec{\theta})$: measurement of qudit u in the measurement space $\mathcal{M}(a, b)$ with angles $\vec{\theta}$;
- $X_u^{m_v}$ and $Z_u^{m_v}$: Pauli corrections depending on the outcome m_v of the measurement of vertex v .

$$\mathfrak{P} \simeq \left(\prod_{v \in O^c}^< x_{x(v)}^{m_v} z_{z(v)}^{m_v} M_v^{a_v, b_v}(\vec{\theta}_v) \right) \left(\prod_{(u,v) \in G} E_{u,v}^{G_{uv}} \right) \left(\prod_{v \in I^c} N_v \right)$$

Vincent Danos, Elham Kashefi, and Prakash Panangaden. “The Measurement Calculus”. In: *Journal of the ACM* 54.2 (Apr. 2007), 8–es.

$$\mathfrak{P} \simeq \left(\prod_{v \in O^c}^< x_{x(v)}^{m_v} z_{z(v)}^{m_v} M_v^{a_v, b_v}(\vec{\theta}_v) \right) \left(\prod_{(u,v) \in G} E_{u,v}^{G_{uv}} \right) \left(\prod_{v \in I^c} N_v \right)$$



Vincent Danos, Elham Kashefi, and Prakash Panangaden. “The Measurement Calculus”. In: *Journal of the ACM* 54.2 (Apr. 2007), 8–es.

Outcome determinism

- A measurement pattern is said to be *deterministic* if the output does not depend on the outcomes of the measurements.


Outcome determinism

- A measurement pattern is said to be *deterministic* if the output does not depend on the outcomes of the measurements.
- It is *strongly deterministic* if all the maps in it's Kraus decomposition are equal up to a phase.

Outcome determinism


- A measurement pattern is said to be *deterministic* if the output does not depend on the outcomes of the measurements.
- It is *strongly deterministic* if all the maps in it's Kraus decomposition are equal up to a phase.
- It is **robustly deterministic** if it is uniformly and stepwise strongly deterministic.

Measurement errors



$$\langle m : M | = \langle 0 : M | Q^{-m}$$


1.




$$X^m \prod_{\text{neighbours}} Z^m |G\rangle = |G\rangle$$

Corrections

1.




$\approx$




Corrections

1.




$\sim$




Corrections


1.



$\sim$




Corrections



F-flow (simplified)


The open graph G has an $\mathbb{F}$ -flow if there is a lower triangular (square) matrix C such that $A_G C$ is lower triangular.



$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b & c \\ a & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{A_G}$$

F-flow (simplified)


The open graph G has an $\mathbb{F}$ -flow if there is a lower triangular (square) matrix C such that $A_G C$ is lower triangular.



$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b & c \\ a & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{A_G} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_C$$

F-flow (simplified)


The open graph G has an $\mathbb{F}$ -flow if there is a lower triangular (square) matrix C such that $A_G C$ is lower triangular.



$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b & c \\ a & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{A_G} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ ba^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

F-flow (simplified)


The open graph G has an $\mathbb{F}$ -flow if there is a lower triangular (square) matrix C such that $A_G C$ is lower triangular.



$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b & c \\ a & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{A_G} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ ba^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

F-flow (simplified)


The open graph G has an $\mathbb{F}$ -flow if there is a lower triangular (square) matrix C such that $A_G C$ is lower triangular.



$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b & c \\ a & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{A_G} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ ba^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

F-flow (simplified)

The open graph G has an $\mathbb{F}$ -flow if there is a lower triangular (square) matrix C such that $A_G C$ is lower triangular.



$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b & c \\ a & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{A_G} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ ba^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem

Suppose an open graph has $\mathbb{Z}_d$ -flow, then the corresponding MBQC is runnable and robustly deterministic. Furthermore, for a given choice of measurements, it realises a partial isometry $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes I} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\otimes O}$.

Theorem

If an MBQC is runnable and robustly deterministic, then the underlying open graph has a $\mathbb{Z}_d$ -flow.

A technical lemma

Lemma

Let $|\phi\rangle, |\phi'\rangle$ be two states of a register V of qudits, Q a Pauli operator and fix some $v \in V$. If for every measurement $M \in \mathcal{M}(Q_u)$ of the qudit u and every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_d$, we have

$$\langle m : M | \phi \rangle \simeq \langle m : M | \phi' \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \|\langle m : M | \phi \rangle\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} = \|\langle m : M | \phi' \rangle\|, \quad (1)$$

then at least one of the following holds:

1. $|\phi\rangle \simeq |\phi'\rangle$;
2. $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\phi'\rangle$ are separable and there are $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_d$ and $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{V \setminus \{v\}}$ such that

$$|\phi\rangle = |x : Q\rangle_v \otimes |\psi\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |\phi'\rangle \simeq |y : Q\rangle_v \otimes |\psi\rangle, \quad (2)$$

where $|x : Q\rangle$ is the eigenvector of Q associated with eigenvalue ω^x .

- A poly-time algorithm for finding an **optimal-depth** $\mathbb{Z}_d$ -flow for an open graph whenever it has one.
- Some results on manipulating open graphs with $\mathbb{Z}_d$ -flows: connecting $\mathbb{Z}_d$ -flows, deleting vertices, local-Clifford operations.
- (Ancilla-less quantum circuit extraction algorithm when all the measurements are $\mathcal{M}(Z)$).

1. Ancilla-less circuit extraction algorithm for all measurement spaces.
2. Pauli $\mathbb{F}$ -flow?
3. Other rings?

Thanks for watching!

Definition

(G, I, O, λ) has an $\mathbb{F}$ -flow if there exists $C \in \mathbb{F}^{V \times V}$ and a permutation matrix $Q \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{V \times V}$ such that

1. $\forall u \in O^c, \lambda(u) = (C_{uu}, (QGQ^T C)_{uu})$;
2. $C_{uv} = 0$ whenever $u \in I$ or $v \in O$;
3. C and $QGQ^T C$ are lower triangular.